



EDINBURGH STUDENT LAW REVIEW

REVIEW PROCESS

The peer review process for 2013-2014 (Volume 2, Issue 2) to be followed will be as follows:

A. INITIAL REVIEW

All submissions will be sent by email (edinburghslr@gmail.com) to the Editor(s)-in-Chief, who will consider their suitability. Submissions which pass this stage will be made anonymous and will be assigned to Content Editor who will determine a Referee with particular experience or interest in the field. The Editor(s)-in-Chief will ensure that Authors are aware of their responsibility for ensuring that the submission conforms to the Edinburgh Student Law Review's formatting style, and for ensuring that all citations are accurate.

B. PEER REVIEW

Referees are asked to consider above all whether the submission is of sufficient quality to be included in the ESLR. Moreover, attention is paid to the following: (1) its contribution to the field; (2) timeliness and time sensitivity; (3) academic standards (argumentation and presentation, including adequacy of referencing, grammar, syntax etc.); and (4) success of synthesis between areas for those submissions purporting to be interdisciplinary. Referees will recommend one of the following options: (1) accept outright for immediate publication; (2) accept subject to minor modification; (3) accept subject to major modification; (4) reject outright. The submission will be sent back to the Editor(s)-in-Chief with any comments attached.

C. COMPLETION

The paper is then transferred by the Content Editor to an ESLR Copy Editor to ensure the submission is compliant with ESLR editing guidelines. This means (1) checking

the accuracy of citations, (2) making amendments to both text and footnotes considered necessary to achieve ESLR stylistic and formatting standards, and (3) making changes with a view to (a) eliminating errors of typing, grammar, syntax, punctuation, spelling, and idiom, (b) eliminating ambiguity, illogicality, tautology, circumlocution and redundancy producing, and (c) accuracy and coherence. Only the Editor(s)-in-Chief or the Content Editors should take steps of a substantive nature; Copy Editors changes will be of the nature outlined above. All changes made by the Editor(s)-in-Chief, Content Editors or Copy Editors should be recorded via "track changes" and will be sent to the author for approval.

D. REVISION

Authors will be sent anonymous copies of Referee comments if available. Authors are responsible for ensuring that necessary amendments are carried out in light of Referee recommendations as well as those required by the Content Editor and Copy Editor.

E. RECONSIDERATION

Revised papers should immediately be sent to the Editor(s)-in-Chief and Content Editors for assessment; they may then be returned to the Referee, or the assessment may be limited to the Editor(s)-in-Chief or the Content Editors (having reference to the review and recommendations by the Referee).

F. COPYRIGHT

The ESLR is an open access journal built on the principles of sharing academic works for the benefit of the wider community. As such, authors retain the copyright to their submission and submissions can be published by the ESLR in two ways:

- the author releases the work under a Creative Commons licence, which allows users to reuse, copy, publish, perform or communicate the work, provided certain conditions are met (see <http://creativecommons.org/>): or
- the author grants to the ESLR the non-exclusive right to publish the work online and reserve all his/her rights.

The default option for publication, unless the author states otherwise, is to release the work under an Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives Creative Commons

Licence. (This Copyright Policy has been adapted from SCRIPTed
<http://www.law.ed.ac.uk/ahrc/script-ed/subguidc.asp>)

Stephen Bogle
October 2013